Minireview

Investigating the role of the thiol-regulated enzyme sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase in the control of photosynthesis

Christine A. Raines*, Elizabeth P. Harrison¹, Hülya Ölçer² and Julie C. Lloyd

Department of Biological Sciences, John Tabor Laboratories, University of Essex, Colchester CO4 3SO, UK

¹Present address: Horticulture Research International, Wellesbourne, Warwick CV35 9EF, UK

²Present address: Dumlupinar Üniversitesi, Fen Edebiyat Fakültesi, Biyoloji Bolümü, Kütahya, Turkey *Corresponding author, e-mail: rainc@essex.ac.uk

Received 1 November 1999; revised 8 March 2000

Sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase (SBPase; EC 3.1.3.37) catalyses the dephosphorylation of sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphate in the regenerative phase of the Calvin cycle. Antisense plants with reduced levels of SBPase have decreased photosynthetic capacity and altered carbohydrate status, leading to modifications in growth and development. The catalytic activity of SBPase is regulated by light via the ferredoxin/thioredoxin system. Recently, the amino acids within the SBPase

protein involved in this regulatory mechanism have been identified and a deregulated, permanently active form of the enzyme has been produced using site-directed mutagenesis. This paper explores how transgenic *Nicotiana tabacum* cv. Samsun plants, containing the deregulated form of the SBPase enzyme, may lead to a better understanding of the in vivo role of light activation of this important Calvin cycle enzyme.

Introduction

Sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase (SBPase; EC 3.1.3.37) is unique to the C3 photosynthetic carbon reduction cycle (Calvin cycle), where it catalyses the dephosphorylation of sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphate. This reaction takes place in the regenerative phase of the C3 cycle, where the CO_2 acceptor molecule, ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate, is regenerated from triose phosphates through a series of sugar condensation and carbon rearrangement reactions (Woodrow and Berry 1988, Geiger and Servaites 1995).

The SBPase gene has been cloned from a number of different plant species, where it is located in the nuclear genome (Raines et al. 1992, Willingham et al. 1994, Hahn et al. 1998). The *Arabidopsis* SBPase gene is present as a single copy sequence as is the case in *Chlamydomonas* (Willingham et al. 1994, Hahn et al. 1998). However, in hexaploid wheat the organisation is more complex, perhaps as a result of gene duplication (Devos et al. 1992). SBPase gene expression is regulated by light, development and levels of hexose sugars (Willingham et al. 1994, Jones et al. 1996). In dark grown wheat and *Arabidopsis* seedlings the level of SBPase mRNA is very low but on transfer to light increases by at least 20-fold (Willingham et al. 1994). In studies using the

primary wheat leaf, Calvin cycle enzyme mRNA levels, including SBPase, were very low in cells containing immature plastids, but increased significantly (5-20-fold) in the mid-section where the cells are fully expanded and the chloroplasts mature (Raines et al. 1991, Willingham et al. 1994). The regulation of SBPase gene expression is likely to be largely at the level of transcription, as is the case for the nuclear encoded Rubisco small subunit genes (Dean et al. 1989). The wheat and Arabidopsis SBPase genes both contain a number of DNA sequence motifs which have been identified as having a role in the transcriptional regulation of other photosynthetic genes (Miles et al. 1993, Willingham et al. 1994). The upstream sequence of the Chlamydomonas SBPase gene also contains elements important for directing light-regulated expression (Hahn et al. 1998). However, as yet only one putative transcription factor, WF-1, present in wheat leaf nuclei, has been identified as interacting with an SBPase gene upstream sequence (Miles et al. 1993).

The activity of the SBPase enzyme is regulated by light. On transfer from darkness to light, the catalytic activity of SBPase increases as a result of light-modulated activation by thioredoxin f (Breazeale et al. 1978, Wirtz et al. 1982).

Further regulation of SBPase activity results from changes in stromal Mg^{2+} levels and pH which also occur as a result of illumination; indeed, the substrate for SBPase is sed-1,7bP- Mg^{2+} (Portis et al. 1977, Purczeld et al. 1978, Nishizawa and Buchanan 1981, Woodrow and Walker 1982, Woodrow et al. 1984, Cadet and Meunier 1988). An additional level of regulation may result from the association of SBPase into complexes with other Calvin cycle enzymes within the stroma, possibly improving the efficiency of the cycle by facilitating the channelling of intermediates between enzymes (Suss et al. 1993). The highly regulated catalytic activity of SBPase, together with data from modelling studies (Petterson and Ryde-Petterson 1989), has suggested that this enzyme may play an important role in the control of carbon flux through the Calvin cycle.

This review focuses on two main areas of SBPase research in which significant advances have recently been made. Firstly, analysis of the structure of this enzyme leading to the identification of the cysteine residues involved in redox regulation and secondly, the use of transgenic technology to manipulate the levels of SBPase activity, revealing the importance of this enzyme in the control of photosynthetic carbon fixation.

Location of the regulatory cysteines in SBPase

In common with several Calvin cycle enzymes SBPase is virtually inactive in the dark but activity increases by more than 10-fold within minutes of illumination (Laing et al. 1981, Wirtz et al. 1982). This light activation of SBPase is mediated through reducing power produced by the photosynthetic light reactions. Reducing power is transferred from ferredoxin to thioredoxin f in a reaction catalysed by the enzyme ferredoxin/thioredoxin reductase. Thioredoxin then binds to the inactive SBPase enzyme in a stable complex and reduces the regulatory disulphide bond (Geck et al. 1996,

Jaramillo et al. 1997). This activation mechanism involves the formation of protein-protein mixed disulphide bonds followed by the release of the reduced SBPase protein and the formation of oxidised thioredoxin (Brandes et al. 1996). Reduction of the disulphide bond in the SBPase protein changes the conformation of the active site, resulting in activation of the enzyme.

As a first step towards the identification of the cysteine residues responsible for light activation of SBPase, a comparison was made between the derived amino sequences for SBPases from wheat, spinach, Arabidospsis and Chlamydomonas. The initial hypothesis was that the position of the cysteines involved in thiol regulation would be conserved in all species and from the alignment (Fig. 1) it can be seen that four cysteine (Cys) residues, at positions 52, 57, 86 and 90, are conserved in all SBPases. In addition, Cys10 and Cys35 are conserved in all the higher plant sequences. In order to identify the specific cysteines involved in thiol regulation, site-directed mutagenesis was used to individually change each of these 6 cysteine codons to serine codons in a wheat SBPase cDNA clone (Dunford et al. 1998a,b). When the resulting mutant proteins were expressed in E. coli and their activity assayed in the presence or absence of reductant, only the mutant enzymes with Cys52 and Cys57 replaced by serine displayed redox insensitive activity (Table 1). These data suggested that amino acids, Cys52 and Cys57, were the regulatory cysteines in SBPase. Although amino acid sequence comparisons show that SBPase is closely related to FBPase (Raines et al. 1992, Martin et al. 1996), information from mutagenesis studies has revealed that the regulatory cysteine residues in these two proteins are located in different positions (Jacquot et al. 1995, 1997b). The feature that they have in common is that the redox active cysteines are distant from the catalytic site. This is in contrast to PRKase, where the cysteines involved in thiol regulation are some 39 amino acids apart and are located

	15	30	45	60	75	90
AtSBP	SNGASTVTKCEIGQS	LEEFLAQATPDKGLR	TLLMCMGEALRTIAF	KVRTASCGGTACVNS	FGDEQLAVDMLADKL	LFEALQYSHVCKYAC
SolSBP	NSSLVTKCELGDS	LEEFLAKATTDKGLI	RLMMCMGEALRTIGF	KVRTASCGGTQCVNT	FGDEQLAIDVLADKL	LFEALNYSHFCKYAC
TaSBP	ASRAALTTRCAIGDS	LEEFLTKATPDKNLI	RLLICMGEAMRTIAF	KVRTASCGGTACVNS	FGDEQLAVDMLADKL	LFEALEYSHVCKYAC
ChlSBP	SRRTAVLTOAKIGDS	LAEFLVEATPDPKLR	HVMMSMAEATRTIAH	KVRTASCAGTACVNS	FGDEQLAVDMVADKL	LFEALKYSHVCKLAC
			*	* *		* *
	105	120	135	150	165	180
AtSBP	SEEVPELODMGGPVE	GGFSVAFDPLDGSSI	VDTNFTVGTIFGVWP	GDKLTGITGGDQVAA	AMGIYGPRTTYVLAV	KGFPGTHEFLLLDEG
SolSBP	SEELPELODMGGPVD	GGFSVAFDPLDGSSI	VDTNFSVGTIFGVWP	GDKLTGVTGRDQVAA	AMGIYGPRTTYVLAL	KDYPGTHEFLLLDEG
TaSBP	SEEVPELODMGGPVE	GGFSVAFDPLDGSSI	VDTNFTVGTIFGVWP	GDKLTGVTGGDQVAA	AMGIYGPRTTFVVAL	KDCPGTHEFLLLDEG
Ch1SBP	SEEVPEPVDMGG	EGFCVAFDPLDGSSS	SDTNFAVGTIFGVWP	GDKLTNITGREQVAA	GMGIYGPRTVFCIAL	KDAPGCHEFLLMDDG
	195	210	225	240	255	270
AtSBP			VOUT TOWN WEWVOT	the same in the second second second second	VEVOTEMNISMEDIAV	ANT DIT FEVADICIT.
	KWQHVKETTEIAEGK	MFSPGNLRATFDNSE	ISUTIDIIAVEVIIT	RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV	RENGIEINVISPIAN	WURKPUL PANE DODD
SolSBP	KWQHVKETTEIAEGK KWQHVKETTEINEGK	MFSPGNLRATFDNSE LFCPGNLRATSDNAD	YAKLIQYYIKEKYTL	RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV	KEKGIFTNVISPTAK	AKLRLLFEVAPLGFL
SolSBP TaSBP	KWQHVKETTEIAEGK KWQHVKETTEINEGK KWQHVKDTTSIGEGK	MFSPGNLRATFDNSE LFCPGNLRATSDNAD MFSPGNLRATFDNPD	YAKLIQYYIKEKYTL YDKLVNYYVKEKYTL	RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV	KEKGIFTNVISPTAK KEKGIFTNVISPTAK	AKLRLLFEVAPLGFL AKLRLLFEVAPLGFL
SolSBP TaSBP ChlSBP	KWQHVKETTEIAEGK KWQHVKETTEINEGK KWQHVKDTTSIGEGK KWMHVKETTHIGEGK	MFSPGNLRATFDNSE LFCPGNLRATSDNAD MFSPGNLRATFDNPD MFAPGNLRATFDNPA	YAKLIQYYIKEKYTL YDKLVNYYVKEKYTL YERLINFYLGEKYTL	RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV RYTGGIVPDLFQIIV	KEKGIFTNVISPTAK KEKGIFTNVISPTAK KEKGVFTNLTSPTTK	AKLRLLFEVAPLGFL AKLRLLFEVAPLGFL AKLRLLFEVAPLGFL
SolSBP TaSBP ChlSBP	KWQHVKETTEIAEGK KWQHVKETTEINEGK KWQHVKDTTSIGEGK KWMHVKETTHIGEGK	MFSPGNLRATFDNSE LFCPGNLRATSDNAD MFSPGNLRATFDNPD MFAPGNLRATFDNPA	YAKLIQYYIKEKYTL YDKLVNYYVKEKYTL YERLINFYLGEKYTL	RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV RYTGGIVPDLFQIIV	KEKGIFTNVISPTAK KEKGIFTNVISPTAK KEKGVFTNLTSPTTK	AKLRLLFEVAPLGFL AKLRLLFEVAPLGFL AKLRILFEVAPLALL
SolSBP TaSBP ChlSBP	KWQHVKETTEIAEGK KWQHVKETTEINEGK KWQHVKDTTSIGEGK KWMHVKETTHIGEGK 285	MFSPGNLRATFDNSE LFCPGNLRATSDNAD MFSPGNLRATFDNPD MFAPGNLRATFDNPA 300	YAKLIDIIVNEKITL YDKLVNYYVKEKYTL YERLINFYLGEKYTL 315	RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV RYTGGIVPDLFQIIV 330	KEKGI FINVISPIAK KEKGI FINVISPIAK KEKGVFINLISPITK	AKLRLLFEVAPLGFL AKLRLLFEVAPLGFL AKLRILFEVAPLALL
SolSBP TaSBP ChlSBP AtSBP	KWQHVKETTELAEGK KWQHVKETTELNEGK KWQHVKDTTSIGEGK KWMHVKETTHIGEGK 285 IENAGGFSS-DGHK-	MFSPGNLRATFDNSE LFCPGNLRATSDNAD MFSPGNLRATFDNPD MFAPGNLRATFDNPA 300 SVLDKTIINLDDRTQ	YAKLIQYYKEKYTL YDKLVNYYVKEKYTL YERLINFYLGEKYTL 315 VAYGSKNEIIRFEET	RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV RYTGGIVPDLFQIIV 330 LYGTSRLKN-VPIGV	KEKGIFTNVISPTAK KEKGIFTNVISPTAK KEKGVFTNLTSPTTK TA	AKLRLLFEVAPLGFL AKLRLLFEVAPLGFL AKLRILFEVAPLALL
SolSBP TaSBP Ch1SBP AtSBP SolSBP	KWQHVKETTELAEGK KWQHVKETTELNEGK KWQHVKDTTSIGEGK KWMHVKETTHIGEGK 285 IENAGGFSS-DGHK- IEKAGGHSS-EGTK-	MFSPGALRATFDNSE LFCPGNLRATSDNAD MFSPGNLRATFDNPD MFAPGNLRATFDNPA 300 SVLDKTIINLDDRTQ SVLDIEVKNLDDRTQ	YAKLIQYYKEKYTL YDKLVNYYVKEKYTL YERLINFYLGEKYTL 315 VAYGSKNEIIRFEET VAYGSLNEIIRFEET	RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV RYTGGIVPDLFQIIV 330 LYGTSRLKN-VPIGV LYGSSRLEEPVPVGA	KEKGIFTNVISPTAK KEKGIFTNVISPTAK KEKGVFTNLTSPTTK TA AA	AKLRLLFEVAPLGFL AKLRLLFEVAPLGFL AKLRILFEVAPLALL
SolSBP TaSBP ChlSBP AtSBP SolSBP TaSBP	KWQHVKETTELAEGK KWQHVKETTEINEGK KWQHVKDTTSIGEGK KWMHVKETTHIGEGK 285 IENAGGFSS-DGHK- IEKAGGHSS-EGTK- IEKAGGHSS-DGKQ-	MFSPGALRATFDNSE LFCPGNLRATSDNAD MFSPGNLRATFDNPD MFAPGNLRATFDNPA 300 SVLDKTIINLDDRTQ SVLDIEVKNLDDRTQ SVLDEVISVLDERTQ	YAKLIQYYKEKYTL YAKLIQYYKEKYTL YDKLVNYYVKEKYTL YERLINFYLGEKYTL 315 VAYGSKNEIIRFEET VAYGSLNEIIRFEET VAYGSLNEIIRFEET	RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV RYTGGIVPDLFQIIV 330 LYGTSRLKN-VPIGV LYGSSRLEEPVPVGA LYGSSRLAASATVGA	KEKGIFTNVISPTAK KEKGIFTNVISPTAK KEKGVFTNLTSPTTK TA AA TA	AKLRLLFEVAPLGFL AKLRLLFEVAPLGFL AKLRILFEVAPLALL
SolSBP TaSBP ChlSBP AtSBP SolSBP TaSBP ChlSBP	KWQHVKETTELAEGK KWQHVKETTEINEGK KWQHVKDTTSIGEGK KWMHVKETTHIGEGK 285 IENAGGFSS-DGHK- IEKAGGHSS-EGTK- IEKAGGHSS-DGKQ- IEKAGGASSCDGKAV	MFSPGNLRATFDNSE LFCPGNLRATSDNAD MFSPGNLRATFDNPD MFAPGNLRATFDNPA 300 SVLDKTIINLDDRTQ SVLDIEVKNLDDRTQ SVLDKVISVLDERTQ SALDIPILVCDQRTQ	YAKLIQYYKEKYTL YDKLVNYYKEKYTL YERLINFYLGEKYTL 315 VAYGSKNEIIRFEET VAYGSLNEIIRFEET ICYGSIGEVRRFEEY	RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV RYTGGMVPDVNQIIV RYTGGIVPDLFQIIV 330 LYGTSRLKN-VPIGV LYGSSRLEEPVPVGA LYGSSRLAASATVGA MYGTSPRFSEKVVA	KEKGIFINVISPIAK KEKGIFINVISPIAK KEKGVFINLTSPITK TA AA TA	AKLRLLFEVAPLGFL AKLRLLFEVAPLGFL AKLRILFEVAPLALL

Fig. 1. Alignment of SBPase amino acid sequences from *Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana*, AtSBP; Willingham et al. 1994), spinach, (*Spinacia oleracea*, SoISBP; Martin et al. 1996) wheat (*Triticum aestivum*, TaSBP; Raines et al. 1992) and *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (ChlSBP, Hahn et al. 1998). Amino acids are numbered according to Dunford et al. (1998a,b). Cysteines conserved in all of the higher plant sequences are indicated by asterisks.

Table 1. Identification of the redox active cysteines in wheat SBPase. The 6 conserved cysteine residues identified in Fig. 1 were individually changed to serine residues and the activities of the oxidised and reduced forms of the enzymes determined by spectrophotometric detection of Pi released from SBP (Dunford et al. 1998b)

	Wild-type	C10	C35	C52	C57	C86	C90
Ratio oxid/red SBPase activity	5.18	8.33	5.00	1.07	1.00	2.33	9.87

within the active site region of this protein. This work suggests that in each case thiol regulation has evolved independently in response to the appearance of oxygenic photosynthesis (Buchanan 1991; reviewed in Jacquot et al. 1997a).

The similarity between the primary amino acid sequences of SBPase and FBPase has enabled modelling studies to be carried out, based on the extensive crystallographic data available on the pig FBPase structure (Ke et al. 1991). This has indicated that the regulatory cysteines, Cys52 and Cys57, may be located in a flexible loop, near to the junction between the two subunits of the homodimer. The next challenge in this area of SBPase research will be to use crystallographic techniques to resolve the 3D structure of SBPase and to reveal the structural details of the allosteric changes occurring during redox regulation, as has been done for chloroplastic FBPase (Chiadmi et al. 1999). An understanding of the molecular interactions between SBPase and thioredoxin during the light activation process should develop from such studies.

Transgenic plants with altered SBPase activity

In recent years, studies in plant metabolic pathways, including the C3 cycle, have made extensive use of transgenic plants to investigate the importance of individual enzymes directly (Stitt and Sonnewald 1995). Genetic manipulation was used to alter SBPase levels in transgenic plants and the effect on photosynthetic carbon fixation was measured. Metabolic control analysis was then applied to quantify the contribution that SBPase makes to the control of photosynthetic carbon fixation. For linear metabolic pathways the flux control coefficient can vary from 0 for an enzyme that makes no contribution to control to 1 for an enzyme that exerts total control (Kacser and Porteous 1987). Using an antisense construct, SBPase expression was lowered in transgenic tobacco plants, producing recombinants with a range of SBPase protein and activity levels (Harrison et al. 1998). To determine the relationship between SBPase activity and photosynthetic carbon fixation, the rate of photosynthesis was measured under light-saturating conditions, and either ambient (Asat) or saturating CO2 (Amax), in antisense plants with a range of SBPase levels (Fig. 2). The amount of control exerted by SBPase on photosynthetic carbon fixation, the flux control coefficient, was calculated from the slopes of logarithmic plots of A_{sat} and A_{max} against enzyme activity and values of 0.31 and 0.54 obtained, respectively (Fell 1997). These data show that SBPase exerts greater control over carbon flux when photosynthesis is measured in saturating CO2. These results are in keeping with data from the analysis of transgenic plants with reduced levels of Rubisco which show that the control of flux does not reside

solely with Rubisco but is shared with other enzymes in the cycle, particularly when photosynthesis is measured in ambient conditions. In contrast, Rubisco has almost total control over the rate of carbon fixation in conditions of high light and high temperature, when the oxygenation reaction is favoured and the rate of photorespiration is high (Stitt and Schulze 1994). The data available from the analysis of transgenic plants with reduced levels of individual Calvin cycle enzymes have revealed that the control of carbon fixation is shared between Rubisco, SBPase and aldolase (Hudson et al. 1992, Stitt and Schulze 1994, Harrison et al. 1998, Haake et al. 1998, 1999). In addition, these results have shown very clearly that the distribution of control between these enzymes is not constant and can vary, depending on environmental conditions. Interestingly, several highly regulated enzymes in the cycle, glyceraldehyde-3phosphate dehydrogenase, fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase, phosphoribulokinase, were shown to make little contribution to the control of photosynthetic carbon flux, under the



Fig. 2. The response of photosynthetic carbon assimilation to reductions in SBPase activity. Photosynthesis was measured under light saturating (1000 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) conditions in ambient CO₂ (350 ppm; open circles) and saturating CO₂ (closed circles) in two consecutive leaves (leaves 7 and 8) on reaching full expansion, using a portable open gas exchange system (CIRAS-1, PP-Systems, Hitchin, UK). Plants were grown in the greenhouse, with light levels in excess of 750 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ and temperatures of between 25 and 30°C. Data points are the mean \pm SE (n = 5 for WT plants, for the transgenic antisense plants two duplicate measurements were made from consecutive leaves). SBPase activity was determined in samples from the same leaves used for photosynthesis measurements, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and assayed according to Harrison et al. (1998).



Fig. 3. Carbohydrate levels in tobacco plants with reduced SBPase activity. Samples for carbohydrate analysis were harvested at the end of the light period from fully expanded leaves (leaf 8) from a second set of plants grown as for photosynthesis analysis (Fig. 2) and frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen. Glucose, fructose and sucrose levels were determined using an enzyme-based protocol (Stitt et al. 1989); starch was measured in the ethanol-insoluble pellet (Stitt et al. 1978). Data points for the WT plants (filled symbols) are the mean \pm SE (n = 4), and for the transgenic antisense plants (open symbols) are the mean of triplicate measurements of single extracts from individual leaves on each plant.

conditions in which they were analysed (Koßmann et al. 1994, Paul et al. 1995, Price et al. 1995). However, the predictions for the control exerted by chloroplastic FBPase on carbon fixation may have been underestimated because the antisense plants were grown in low light conditions.

SBPase is positioned at the branch point in the cycle where intermediates are either channelled towards regenera-

tion of the CO₂ acceptor or are exported from the cycle for carbohydrate biosynthesis. To address the question of whether reducing the level of SBPase perturbs the balance of carbon flux to the starch and sucrose biosynthetic pathways, carbohydrate levels were measured in the fully expanded source leaves of SBPase antisense plants. Plants with moderate reductions in SBPase activity had no significant reduction in glucose, fructose or sucrose levels (Fig. 3). In the plants with more severe reductions in SBPase activity, sucrose levels were maintained close to that of wild-type (WT) plants. In contrast, the reductions in starch content were significant in these plants. These results show that plants with reduced SBPase activity maintain the flux of carbon to sucrose at the expense of starch biosynthesis. This change in carbon partitioning has been observed in all of the Calvin cycle antisense transgenic plants, suggesting that it is a general response to reduced photosynthetic carbon flux (Koßmann et al. 1994, Stitt and Schulze 1994, Paul et al. 1995, Price et al. 1995, Haake et al. 1998, 1999, Harrison et al. 1998). What is interesting about the SBPase antisense plants is that starch levels decreased linearly, in response to reductions in SBPase activity (Fig. 3), to the extent that in some of the antisense SBPase plants, starch was barely detectable by iodine staining, regardless of developmental stage (Fig. 4).

Investigating light activation of SBPase in vivo

An important question remaining is the role of light activation of individual enzymes, such as SBPase, in controlling the flux of carbon through the Calvin cycle. Thiol-mediated light/dark regulation of Calvin cycle enzyme activity may act, in part, as a simple on/off switch to prevent futile cycling of Calvin cycle intermediates in the dark, using ATP in the process and reducing the availability of erythrose-4-



Fig. 4. Starch content of leaves at different developmental stages harvested from greenhouse-grown (as for photosynthesis; Fig. 2) WT and two SBPase antisense plants at the end of the light period. The SBPase activity (% WT) of a newly fully expanded leaf from the plant is indicated. Starch was visualized by iodine staining.

phosphate for the shikimate pathway. In addition, thiol modulation of the Calvin cycle may regulate the photosynthetic flux of carbon in response to rapid short-term alterations in the light environment, such as shading and sunflecks (Buchanan 1980, Scheibe 1991, Jacquot et al. 1997a). The availability of fully active deregulated mutants of SBPase (Dunford et al. 1998b), produced using site directed mutagenesis, will enable this question to be addressed in vivo. Transgenic plants, expressing this fully active mutant form of the enzyme, are being produced and will be used to investigate the physiological consequences of deregulation of SBPase activity. This use of transgenic technology is one approach likely to further our understanding of the role of SBPase in Calvin cycle metabolism.

Acknowledgements – This work was supported by grants from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council UK, Grant Number P01723 (CAR and JCL) and the University of Essex Research Promotion Fund.

References

- Brandes HK, Larimer FW, Hartman FC (1996) The molecular pathway for the regulation of phosphoribulokinase by thioredoxin *f*. J Biol Chem 271: 3333–3335
- Breazeale UD, Buchanan BB, Wolosiuk RA (1978) Chloroplast sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase: evidence for regulation by the ferredoxin/thioredoxin system. Z Naturforsch 33c: 521-528
- Buchanan BB (1980) Role of light in the regulation of chloroplast enzymes. Annu Rev Plant Physiol 31: 341–374
- Buchanan BB (1991) Regulation of CO₂ assimilation in oxygenic photosynthesis: the ferredoxin/thioredoxin system. Arch Biochem Biophys 288: 1–9
- Cadet F, Meunier J-C (1988) pH and kinetic studies of chloroplast sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase from spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*). Biochem J 253: 249–254
- Chiadmi M, Navaza A, Migniac-Maslow M, Jacquot J-P, Cherfils J (1999) Redox signalling in the chloroplast: structure of oxidised pea fructose-1,6-bisphosphate phosphatase. EMBO J 18: 6809–6815
- Dean C, Pichersky E, Dunsmuir P (1989) Structure, evolution and regulation of *RbcS* genes in higher plants. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 40: 415–439
- Devos KM, Atkinson MD, Chinoy CM, Lloyd JC, Raines CA, Dyer TA, Gale MD (1992) The coding sequence for sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase detects multiple homologues in wheat genomic DNA. Theor Appl Genet 85: 133–135
- Dunford RP, Catley MA, Raines CA, Lloyd JC, Dyer TA (1998a) Expression of wheat sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase in *E. coli* and affinity purification of functional protein. Protein Purif Expr 14: 139–145
- Dunford RP, Durrant MC, Catley MA, Dyer TA (1998b) Location of the redox-active cysteines in chloroplast sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase indicates that it is allosteric regulation is similar but not identical to that of fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase. Photosynth Res 58: 221–230
- Fell D (1997) Understanding the Control of Metabolism. Portland Press, London
- Geck MK, Larimer FW, Hartman FC (1996) Identification of residues of spinach thioredoxin *f* that influence interactions with target enzymes. J Biol Chem 271: 24736–24740
- Geiger DR, Servaites JC (1995) Diurnal regulation of photosynthetic carbon metabolism in C3 plants. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 45: 253–256
- Haake V, Zrenner R, Sonnewald U, Stitt M (1998) A moderate decrease of plastid aldolase activity inhibits photosynthesis, alters the levels of sugars and starch and inhibits growth of potato plants. Plant J 14: 145–147

- Haake V, Geiger P, Walch-Liu P, Engels C, Zrenner R, Stitt M (1999) Changes in aldolase activity in wild-type potato plants are important for acclimation to growth irradiance and carbon dioxide concentration, because plastid aldolase exerts control over the ambient rate of photosynthesis across a range of growth conditions. Plant J 17: 479–490
- Hahn D, Kaltenbach C, Kuck U (1998) The Calvin cycle enzyme sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase is encoded by a light-regulated gene in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Plant Mol Biol 36: 929–934
- Harrison EP, Willingham NM, Lloyd JC, Raines CA (1998) Reduced sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase levels in transgenic tobacco lead to decreased photosynthetic capacity and altered carbohydrate accumulation. Planta 204: 27–36
- Hudson GS, Evans JR, von Caemmerer S, Arvidsson YBC, Andrews TJ (1992) Reduction of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase content by antisense RNA reduces photosynthesis in transgenic tobacco plants. Plant Physiol 98: 294–302
- Jacquot J-P, Lopez-Jaramillo J, Chueca A et al. (1995) High-level expression of recombinant pea chloroplast fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase and mutagenesis of its regulatory site. Eur J Biochem 229: 675–681
- Jacquot J-P, Lancelin J-M, Meyer Y (1997a) Thioredoxins: structure and function in plant cells. New Phytol 136: 543-570
- Jacquot J-P, Lopez-Jaramillo J, Migniac-Maslow M et al. (1997b) Cysteine-153 is required for redox regulation of pea chloroplast fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase. FEBS Lett 401: 143–147
- Jaramillo JL, Chueca A, Jacquot JP et al. (1997) High-yield expression of pea thioredoxin *m* and assessment of its efficiency in chloroplast fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase activation. Plant Physiol 114: 1169–1175
- Jones PG, Lloyd JC, Raines CA (1996) Glucose feeding of intact wheat plants represses the expression of a number of Calvin cycle genes. Plant Cell Environ 19: 231–236
- Kacser H, Porteous JW (1987) Control of metabolism; what do we have to measure. Trends Biochem Sci 12: 5-14
- Ke HM, Zhang YP, Liang JY, Lipscomb WN (1991) Crystalstructure of the neutral form of fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase complexed with the product fructose-6-phosphate at 2.1-A resolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 88: 2989–2993
- Koßmann J, Sonnewald U, Willmitzer L (1994) Reduction of the chloroplastic fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase in transgenic potato plants impairs photosynthesis and plant growth. Plant J 6: 637–650
- Laing WA, Stitt M, Heldt HW (1981) Control of CO₂ fixation. Changes in the activity of ribulosephosphate kinase and fructose- and sedoheptulose-bisphosphatase in chloroplasts. Biochim Biophys Acta 637: 348–359
- Martin W, Mustafa A-Z, Henze K, Schnarrenberger C (1996) Higher-plant chloroplastic and cytosolic fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase isoenzymes: origins via duplication rather than prokaryote-eukaryote divergence. Plant Mol Biol 32: 485–491
- Miles AJ, Potts SC, Willingham NM, Raines CA, Lloyd JC (1993) A light- and developmentally-regulated DNA-binding interaction is common to the upstream sequences of the wheat Calvin cycle bisphosphatase genes. Plant Mol Biol 22: 507–516
- Nishizawa AN, Buchanan BB (1981) Enzyme regulation in C4 photosynthesis. Purification and properties of thioredoxinlinked fructose bisphosphatase and sedoheptulose bisphosphatase from corn leaves. J Biol Chem 256: 6119–6126
- Paul MJ, Knight JS, Habash D et al. (1995) Reduction in phosphoribulokinase activity by antisense RNA in transgenic tobacco: effect on CO_2 assimilation and growth in low irradiance. Plant J 7: 535–542
- Petterson G, Ryde-Petterson U (1989) Dependence of the Calvin cycle activity on kinetic parameters for the interaction of non-equilibrium cycle enzymes with their substrates. Eur J Biochem 186: 683–687
- Portis AR, Chon CJA, Mosbac A, Heldt HW (1977) Fructoseand sedoheptulose-bisphosphatase. The sites of a possible control of CO_2 fixation by light dependent changes of the stromal Mg^{2+} concentration. Biochim Biophys Acta 461: 313–325

- Price GD, Evans JR, von Caemmerer S, Yu J-W, Badger MR (1995) Specific reduction of chloroplast glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase activity by antisense RNA reduces CO_2 assimilation via a reduction in ribulose bisphosphate regeneration in transgenic tobacco plants. Planta 195: 369-378
- Purczeld P, Chon CJ, Portis AR, Heldt HW, Heber U (1978) The mechanism of the control of carbon fixation by the pH in the chloroplast stroma. Studies with nitrite-mediated proton transfer across the envelope. Biochim Biophys Acta 501: 488– 498
- Raines CA, Lloyd JC, Dyer TA (1991) Molecular biology of the C₃ photosynthetic carbon reduction cycle. Photosynth Res 27: 1–14
- Raines CA, Lloyd JC, Willingham NM, Potts S, Dyer TA (1992) cDNA and gene sequences of wheat chloroplast sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase reveal homology with fructose-1,6-bisphosphatases. Eur J Biochem 205: 1053–1059
- Scheibe R (1991) Redox modulation of chloroplast enzymes. Plant Physiol 96: 1-3
- Stitt M, Schulze D (1994) Does Rubisco control the rate of photosynthesis and plant growth? An exercise in molecular ecophysiology. Plant Cell Environ 17: 465–487
- Stitt M, Sonnewald U (1995) Regulation of metabolism in transgenic plants. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 46: 341– 368

- Stitt M, Bulpin PV, ap Rees T (1978) Pathway of starch breakdown in photosynthetic tissues of *Pisum sativum*. Biochim Biophys Acta 544: 200-214
- Stitt M, Lilley RM, Gerhardt R, Heldt HW (1989) Metabolite levels in specific cells and subcellular compartments of plant tissues. Methods Enzymol 174: 518–552
 Suss K-H, Arkona C, Mantbuffel R, Adler K (1993) Calvin cycle
- Suss K-H, Arkona C, Mantbuffel R, Adler K (1993) Calvin cycle multienzyme complexes are bound to chloroplast thylakoid membranes of higher plants in situ. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 90: 5514–5518
- Willingham NM, Lloyd JC, Raines CA (1994) Molecular cloning of the Arabidopsis thaliana sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase gene and expression studies in wheat and Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Mol Biol 26: 1191–1200
- Wirtz W, Stitt M, Heldt HW (1982) Light activation of Calvin cycle enzymes as measured in pea leaves. FEBS Lett 142: 223–226 Woodrow IE, Berry JA (1988) Enzymic regulation of photosyn-
- Woodrow IE, Berry JA (1988) Enzymic regulation of photosynthetic CO_2 fixation in C_3 plants. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 39: 533–594
- Woodrow IE, Walker DA (1982) Activation of wheat chloroplast sedoheptulose bisphosphatase: a continuous spectrophotometric assay. Arch Biochem Biophys 216: 416–422
- Woodrow IE, Murphy DJ, Latzko E (1984) Regulation of stromal sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase activity by pH and Mg²⁺ concentration. J Biol Chem 259: 3791–3795

Edited by L. E. Anderson and P. Gardeström