OPEN

Check for updates

Faster than expected Rubisco deactivation in shade reduces cowpea photosynthetic potential in variable light conditions

Samuel H. Taylor¹³, Emmanuel Gonzalez-Escobar^{1,3}, Rhiannon Page¹, Martin A. J. Parry¹, Stephen P. Long^{1,2} and Elizabete Carmo-Silva¹

Cowpea is the major source of vegetable protein for rural populations in sub-Saharan Africa and average yields are not keeping pace with population growth. Each day, crop leaves experience many shade events and the speed of photosynthetic adjustment to this dynamic environment strongly affects daily carbon gain. Rubisco activity is particularly important because it depends on the speed and extent of deactivation in shade and recovers slowly on return to sun. Here, direct biochemical measurements showed a much faster rate of Rubisco deactivation in cowpea than prior estimates inferred from dynamics of leaf gas exchange in other species¹⁻³. Shade-induced deactivation was driven by decarbamylation, and half-times for both deactivation in shade and activation in saturating light were shorter than estimates from gas exchange (<53% and 79%, respectively). Incorporating these half-times into a model of diurnal canopy photosynthesis predicted a 21% diurnal loss of productivity and suggests slowing Rubisco deactivation during shade is an unexploited opportunity for improving crop productivity.

Some 240 million people in sub-Saharan Africa are malnourished and this has been steadily worsening over the past 6 years. Regional improvement in food production lags behind that of most of the world, yet population growth is high, suggesting that numbers of seriously malnourished people will continue to increase⁴. Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) is the most important plant protein source for rural sub-Saharan Africa but its productivity has increased little over the past decade⁴⁻⁶.

Despite being the source of all plant matter, improvement of photosynthesis is a largely unexploited opportunity that has only recently been implemented to drive large increases in rates of biomass production in tobacco and rice^{7,8}. Although focus has been on improving steady-state light-saturated rates of photosynthesis, evidence suggests that major gains in plant productivity could be obtained by improving adjustment to the continual light fluctuations that occur within crop canopies in the field. By transgenically upregulating genes that affect the speed with which photosynthetic efficiency adjusts to sun–shade transitions, productivity of field-grown tobacco increased 14–20% (ref. ⁹).

Canopy modelling using measured rates of photosynthetic induction during shade–sun transitions suggests a means to gains of similar magnitude^{1,3,10}. A key factor controlling speed of induction is the activity of the ATP-dependent metabolic repair chaperone, Rubisco activase (Rca; see also Supplementary Table 1 for abbreviations). The assumed mechanism of Rubisco (ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase–oxygenase) activation is that Rca removes tightly bound inhibitory sugar-phosphates from catalytic sites, allowing carbamylation; that is, reversible binding of CO_2 and Mg^{2+} , and in turn carboxylation or oxygenation of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP)¹¹. Establishing the potential impact of Rubisco activation on photosynthetic productivity requires modelling the response of Rubisco activity to realistic within-crop canopy light regimes^{1–3}.

Shade is an obvious limit on photosynthesis in forest and understorey plants¹². Within dense short-stature crop canopies like soybean, wheat and cowpea, most leaves also experience many transitions between sun and shade^{3,13,14}. Throughout a day, light reaching chloroplasts steps-up or steps-down by 90% within a second³. In shade, biochemical adjustments improve the efficiency with which chloroplasts use absorbed light⁹ but the light-dependent supply of RuBP is insufficient to saturate Rubisco catalytic sites, allowing decarbamylation and/or sugar-phosphate inhibition to decrease Rubisco activity¹⁵⁻¹⁷. Following shade–sun transitions, Rubisco activation is among the slowest responding of the biochemical processes that tune photosynthetic capacity to match incoming light^{18,19}.

Shade-sun transitions are initially followed by RuBP regeneration driven, fast increases in photosynthesis, quickly superseded by prolonged, slower recovery driven by Rubisco activation¹⁹. Rates of increase in CO₂ assimilation during induction have therefore been used to infer rates of Rubisco activation^{16,20} and have shown diversity that could be exploited to improve crop productivity^{2,21,22}. By contrast, the rate of Rubisco deactivation following sun-shade transitions has never been characterized in a grain crop using both in vitro assays and gas exchange. A foundational study using both methods with spinach¹⁶ found long deactivation half-times of >1,440 s; however, subsequent gas exchange measurements estimated only 606s for the same species²³. Furthermore, basil and impatiens showed faster Rubisco deactivation on the basis of in vitro biochemistry than gas exchange¹⁷. Parameterization of Rubisco deactivation therefore remains a key uncertainty in addressing impacts of Rubisco activation on crop productivity².

The match between in vivo (leaf gas exchange) and in vitro (Rubisco activity) measurements, and the potential gain in diurnal photosynthesis achievable by adjusting the response of Rubisco activity to shade, were evaluated in cowpea. Activation state during sun-shade-sun transitions was measured using an optimized in vitro leaf-disc approach^{24,25}. A uniform light regime was imposed with balanced spectrum LED lighting and temperature control

¹Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK. ²Departments of Plant Biology and of Crop Sciences, Carl R. Woese Institute of Genomic Biology, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, USA. ³These authors contributed equally: Samuel H. Taylor, Emmanuel Gonzalez-Escobar. ^{IM}e-mail: e.carmosilva@lancaster.ac.uk

LETTERS



Fig. 1 Rubisco activation responses to sun-shade-sun at 30 °C. **a**, Rubisco activation state measured in vitro (*S*; individuals per accession: n = 3, IT82E-16 and *V. adenantha*; n = 4, IT86D-1010 and *Vigna* sp. Savi). **b**, Maximum Rubisco carboxylation rate ($V_{c,max}$) modelled from gas exchange measurements (individuals per accession: n = 4, IT86D-1010 and *V. adenantha*; n = 6, IT82E-16 and *Vigna* sp. Savi). Points show time series for individuals, lines are fixed effects predictions from nonlinear mixed-effects models that accounted for among-individual variation; in **b**, the model is extrapolated beyond the period 1-5 min after shade when $V_{c,max}$ limited net CO₂ assimilation. The response of components of Rubisco activation state are shown using equivalence plots for steady-state. **c,d**, Initial (*V*,) (**c**) and total (*V*,) (**d**) Rubisco activity in sun (850 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, after recovery of *S*) and shade (150 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, immediately preceding the end of shade). Means and s.d. are shown for individual plants (two to three technical replicates; individuals per accession: n = 3, IT82E-16 and *V. adenantha*; n = 4, IT86D-1010 and *Vigna* sp. Savi), along with a 1:1 reference (dashed line) and regression of y = ax for the means (solid line, n = 14 individuals). V_{μ} without pre-incubation with effectors Mg²⁺ and CO₂, responded significantly to shade (a = 0.712, 95% CI 0.65, 0.77) and V_t did not (a = 0.967, 95% CI 0.89, 1.04). Four *Vigna* accessions were characterized, including two cowpea breeding lines (IT86D-1010 and IT82E-16) and two wild species (*V. adenantha* and *Vigna* sp. Savi). In both biochemistry and leaf gas exchange experiments, material was brought to steady-state photosynthesis in saturating light, then shaded for 20 min before returning in a single step to the initial light level.

(Supplementary Fig. 1) and light responses of Rubisco activation state were obtained under steady-state and with temporal resolution down to 15 s during sun–shade–sun sequences. Results were used to update a diurnal model that combines a light regime for a legume canopy¹⁴; half-times (τ) for the Rubisco activation state (*S*) response to step changes in light^{16,26}; and net CO₂ assimilation (*A*) based on steady-state light-response curves¹. In parallel, the model was parameterized using gas exchange-based τ for the maximum rate of carboxylation by Rubisco ($V_{c,max}$). To indicate potential for impacts of breeding on Rubisco activity, two *V. unguiculata* breeding lines (IT86D-1010 and IT82E-16), a sexually compatible wild relative *Vigna* sp. Savi (TVNu-1948) and a more distantly related perennial *V. adenantha* (L.) were compared.

For all accessions, *S* saturated at a photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of ~ $600 \,\mu mol \,m^{-2} s^{-1}$ (Supplementary Fig. 2). Sun-shade-sun sequences were simulated using 850 $\mu mol \,m^{-2} s^{-1}$ (sun) and 150 $\mu mol \,m^{-2} s^{-1}$ (shade) (Fig. 1a). In shade, *S* decreased

with a half-time ($\tau_{d,S}$) of 42–134s, depending on the accession ($F_{3,374}$ =13.2, P=3.2×10⁻⁸; Table 1). Deactivation of Rubisco in *Vigna* sp. Savi and IT86D-1010 was so rapid that $\tau_{d,S}$ was not statistically resolvable from 0; by contrast, $\tau_{d,S}$ for *V. adenantha* and IT82E-16 was ~120s (Table 1). Thus, $\tau_{d,S}$ was as different within cowpea as between *Vigna* species. In shade, *S* decreased by 18–28% and accessions with high *S* in sun also showed higher *S* in shade. *S* was greater in *V. adenantha* and IT86D-1010 than in the other two accessions ($F_{3,374}$ =14.9, P<3.4×10⁻⁹; Table 1), so there was no clear association between *S* and $\tau_{d,S}$. For Rubisco activation, the half-time of induction ($\tau_{a,S}$) did not differ among the accessions ($F_{3,371}$ =1.56, P=0.2) and was 144s (Table 1). Estimates of τ_a for other crops derived from gas exchange range from ~100 to 350 s (refs. ^{1,2,17,20,21,27}) and decrease at higher assay temperatures as used here²⁷.

The behaviour of S and $V_{c,max}$ differed. Unlike S, $V_{c,max}$ of the four accessions was similar in high light (coefficient contrasts $P \ge 0.64$). While confidence intervals (CIs, 95%) did indicate significantly

Table 1 Filotosynthetic induction parameters at 50°C for four vigna accessions				
	S _H	SL	$ au_{d,S}{}^{a}$	$ au_{a,S}{}^{a}$
	(%)	(%)	(s)	(s)
V. adenantha	80±2.9 ^A	59±3.4 ^A	$108 \pm 47^{\text{A}}$	144±27
V. sp. Savi	65 ± 3.8^{B}	53±4.3 ^B	$42\pm48^{\text{B}}$	
IT82E-16	$71 \pm 4.0^{\circ}$	54 ± 4.7^{BC}	132 ± 70^{A}	
IT86D-1010	80 ± 3.8^{A}	$58 \pm 4.3^{\text{AC}}$	42±51 ^B	
	V _{c,max,H}	V _{c,max,L}	$ au_{d,V}$ a,b	$ au_{a,V}^{a}$
	$(\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1})$	(µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	(s)	(s)
V. adenantha	239±17.6	95±17.1 ^A	241	180±24
V. sp. Savi		96±19.7 ^A	242	
IT82E-16		124 ± 20.4^{B}	253	
IT86D-1010		113 ± 22.2 ^{AB}	248	
	ϕ^{a}	A _{sat} ^a	θ^{a}	R _d ^a
	-	$(\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1})$	-	(µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)
V. adenantha	0.059 ± 0.0050^{AB}	32 ± 3.8^{A}	$0.83 \pm 0.043^{\text{A}}$	1.52 ± 0.419 ^A
V. sp. Savi	0.058 ± 0.0050^{B}	34 ± 3.8^{AB}	$0.8 \pm 0.043^{\text{AB}}$	1.58±0.419 ^A
IT82E-16	$0.063 \pm 0.0035^{\text{A}}$	39±2.7 ^c	$0.78 \pm 0.031^{\text{B}}$	2.17 ± 0.296 ^B
IT86D-1010	0.063±0.0050 ^A	36 ± 3.8^{BC}	0.77 ± 0.044^{B}	1.85±0.420 ^{AB}

Table 1 | Photosynthetic induction parameters at 30 °C for four Vigna accessions

The photosynthetic induction parameters are based on Rubisco activation state measured in vitro (S) or maximum Rubisco carboxylation rate from gas exchange (V_{cmax} ; final steady-state at high light (subscript H), initial during shade (subscript L)), their characteristic half-times during activation (τ_a) and deactivation (τ_a) and parameters of the A/PPED curves used in diurnal modelling (m, initial slope at low PPED; A_{sav} asymptotic rate at high PPED; θ_a curvature parameter; and R_a day respiration). Values are means \pm 95% CI for fixed effects. Genotype-level fixed effects were included in models only where significant. The fit of models at the level of individual plants is shown in Supplementary Figs. 9, 10 and 11; parameter values from an alternative individual-by-individual model are shown in Supplementary Tables 2 and 3. Different capital superscript letters indicate non-overlap of 95% CI. "Used in diurnal modelling." Calculated from mean V_{cmaxt} , and V_{cmaxt} (equation (7)): not modelled using mixed effects.

lower shade values in wild *Vigna* compared with IT82E-16, betweenaccessions patterns of difference between *S* and *V*_{c,max} did not correspond (Table 1 and Fig. 1b). Such correspondence is not expected because, in addition to *S*, *V*_{c,max} depends on Rubisco amount and catalytic properties. The apparently larger decrease in Rubisco activity in shade based on *V*_{c,max} (48–60%; Table 1 and Fig. 1b) compared to *S* was linked with longer τ_V than τ_s . Similarly, the half-time for increasing *V*_{c,max} ($\tau_{a,V}$) was 26% longer than $\tau_{a,S}$ ($P \le 0.05$ on the basis of 95% CIs; Table 1). Half-times for decreasing *V*_{c,max} ($\tau_{d,V}$) were calculated dependent on *V*_{c,max,H} and *V*_{c,max,L} (equation (7)) so CIs were not estimated for $\tau_{d,V}$ but at 241–253 s they were 1.9–5.8 × $\tau_{d,S}$, depending on accession and were longer than the upper 95% CIs for $\tau_{d,S}$ (Table 1). Because estimates of $\tau_{d,V}$ assumed that *V*_{c,max,L} is an asymptote reached after 20 min (equations (5) - (7)) and *S* stabilized faster than this, $\tau_{d,V}$ probably overestimated τ_d (Fig. 1a).

Initial activity of Rubisco in shaded leaf discs stabilized at ~70% of the value at high light (Fig. 1c). Assays of total activity, following carbamylation of catalytic sites free of sugar-phosphates, showed no response to PPFD (Fig. 1d). Carbamylation relies on stromal pH, $[CO_2]$ and $[Mg^{2+}]$ and the availability of inhibitor-free Rubisco catalytic sites depends on [RuBP] and Rca activity²⁸. In shade, *A* diminishes and stomata will open at low $[CO_2]$, so CO_2 seems unlikely to be limiting. The relative importance of stromal pH and $[Mg^{2+}]$ as companions to Rca activity controlling Rubisco carbamylation in shade remain to be established but in model plant species expressing varying amounts²⁰ and isoforms²⁵ of Rca, slowing deactivation and speeding induction by Rca-mediated maintenance of Rubisco activity shows promise as a strategy to enhance productivity.

Important diurnal impacts of Rubisco activation previously reported for wheat¹ were based on in vivo estimates of Rubisco activity ($\tau_{d,V}$ and $\tau_{a,V}$). Here, Rubisco deactivation and activation half-times determined both in vivo and in vitro ($\tau_{d,s}$ and $\tau_{a,s}$), were used to model photosynthetic adjustment to diurnal light fluctuations within the second layer of a canopy (Fig. 2). Both in vivo and in vitro approaches predicted foregone assimilation linked with

Rubisco activation (A_f) matching the 21% of diurnal photosynthetic potential (A_Q ; Table 2 and Fig. 2c) predicted for wheat¹. Significant differences in light-response characteristics between the four *Vigna* accessions (Table 1) had little impact on diurnal photosynthesis (A_Q : coefficient of variation, 3.9%; Table 2) relative to the ~21% reduction linked with Rubisco regulation (A_f ; Table 2). Noting that $\tau_{d,V}$ probably represents an upper limit for reasons given above, and that τ_V were longer than τ_S , we used these values reciprocally to establish the potential impact of modifying τ_d and τ_a . Both slowing-down deactivation ($\tau_{d,V} + \tau_{a,S}$ versus $\tau_{d,S} + \tau_{a,S}$) and speeding-up activation ($\tau_{d,V} + \tau_{a,V}$ versus $\tau_{d,S} + \tau_{a,S}$) significantly decreased A_f to 17% (on the basis of 95% CIs; Table 2). Similarly, slowing activation following shade ($\tau_{d,S} + \tau_{a,V}$ versus $\tau_{d,S} + \tau_{a,S}$) significantly increased A_f to 24%. Therefore, small but significant differences in τ are sufficient to drive improvements in diurnal carbon gain.

New, high-frequency sampling during sun-shade transitions for biochemical analysis of Rubisco activation in cowpea, revealed far more rapid deactivation than previously appreciated on the basis of gas exchange measurements². Modelling of these results augments predictions of 2-20% impacts of shade-induced changes in Rubisco activity on diurnal photosynthesis^{2,3}. Prior estimates have relied on gas exchange in wheat¹, where estimated τ_d was slightly longer than τ_{a} , consistent with measurements using S in spinach, basil and impatiens^{16,17}. Longer deactivation times, important for exploitation of sunflecks, have also been reported in the tropical understorey species Alocasia macrorrhiza¹⁵. By contrast, the fast decline in S measured in cowpea suggests that shade-induced Rubisco limitation may have been underestimated for some crops. An answer to the question of why cowpea does not exhibit longer deactivation times may be that its wild ancestors exploited warm, dry climates²⁹ where shading was less important than in forest or contemporary cropping environments.

Using S to establish Rubisco activity in shade required a carefully constructed, laboratory-based set-up and more work is needed to understand the offset in τ_a and evidence that (de-)carbamylation rather than RuBP/inhibitor-binding drove Rubisco

LETTERS



Fig. 2 | Modelling the diurnal impacts of slow changes in Rubisco activity at 30 °C. a, Light regime for a chloroplast in a second-layer legume canopy¹⁴. **b**, Mid-day segment of diurnal time series for the cowpea line IT86D-1010. Lags relative to tracking of a steady-state PPFD response are shown for modelling based on Rubisco activity ($\tau_{a,s}$, $\tau_{a,s}$) compared with gas exchange measurements ($\tau_{d,v}$, $\tau_{a,v}$); note the impact of shade duration on differences between the models. **c**, Cumulative assimilation during the diurnal period for the scenarios in **b**, alongside models simulating the effect of slower deactivation ($\tau_{d,v}$, $\tau_{a,s}$) and slower activation ($\tau_{d,s}$, $\tau_{a,v}$) of Rubisco.

activity under our assay conditions. Gas exchange-based methods therefore remain the best option for evaluating Rubisco regulation in, for example, breeders plots^{2,3,10,18,21,22}. Here, the use of equation (6) with a one-point estimate of $V_{c,max,L}$ overestimated $\tau_{d,V}$. This will be improved by experiments that establish how $V_{c,max}$ responds to

Table 2 | Impact of Rubisco deactivation and activation onpotential diurnal net CO_2 assimilation (A_{diel}) of four Vignaaccessions

Model	Vigna accession	A _{diel}	A _f	
		(mmol m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	(mmol m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	(%)
A _Q	V. adenantha	523	-	-
	V. sp. Savi	531	-	-
	IT82E-16	569	-	-
	IT86D-1010	554	-	-
	Mean±95% CI	544 ± 18.3	-	-
$\tau_{\rm d,V}\tau_{\rm a,V}$	V. adenantha	418	105	20.0
	V. sp. Savi	423	108	20.4
	IT82E-16	449	120	21.1
	IT86D-1010	465	113	20.4
	Mean±95% CI	433±6.1	112 <u>+</u> 7.9	20.5 ± 0.83
$ au_{\rm d,S} au_{\rm a,S}$	V. adenantha	422	101	19.4
	V. sp. Savi	419	113	21.2
	IT82E-16	455	114	20.1
	IT86D-1010	437	118	21.2
	Mean±95% CI	414 ± 6.1	111±5	20.5±0.91
$ au_{\rm d,V} au_{\rm a,S}$	V. adenantha	435	88	16.9
	V. sp. Savi	440	91	17.2
	IT82E-16	468	101	17.8
	IT86D-1010	459	95	17.1
	Mean±95% CI	450 ± 6.1	94±5	17.2 ± 0.91
$ au_{ m d,S} au_{ m a,V}$	V. adenantha	405	118	22.6
	V. sp. Savi	401	131	24.6
	IT82E-16	435	134	23.6
	IT86D-1010	418	137	24.7
	Mean±95% CI	433±6.1	130±5	23.9 <u>+</u> 0.91

Perfect tracking of changes in PPFD by net CO₂ assimilation based on steady-state light-response curves (A₀), is compared with models in which the rate of change in Rubisco activity during deactivation (τ_a) and activation (τ_a) in response to fluctuating PPFD are alternatively parameterized using one-point V_{cmax} from leaf gas exchange (τ_x) or Rubisco activation state (S; τ_s). Foregone assimilation (A₀) is the difference between A₀ and the respective alternative models.

shade periods of different durations. Our finding that *S* in cowpea stabilized within 10 min of shade also suggests use of <20 min of shade, with the benefit that stomatal closure would be less and so less complicating to gas exchange assays.

Significant variation in Rubisco deactivation half-times ($\tau_{d,S}$) among *Vigna* accessions suggests that τ_d would be amenable to selection for improvement in breeding programmes. Variation between two cowpea lines from the same geographical origin (IT86D-1010 and IT82E-16) also suggests that greater variation is probably available from more diverse germplasm. Induction is relatively easy to study using field portable gas exchange equipment, so has been a focus in recent studies highlighting Rubisco regulation in crop pla nts^{2,3,10,21,22,27}; however, measurements of *S* suggest that, at least in cowpea, the speed of response to shade differs more than speed of induction. Slowing Rubisco deactivation during shade is a new target for crop improvement, with potential to improve productivity in food crops like cowpea.

Methods

Plant material and growth conditions. *V. unguiculata* (L.) Walp. IT86D-1010 and IT82E-16 (cowpea, obtained from the US Department of Agriculture), an

interfertile wild relative *Vigna* sp. Savi TVNu-1948 (obtained from the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture; detailed information on IT and TVNu accessions can be obtained from https://my.iita.org/accession2/) and *V. adenantha* (G. Mey.) Marechal, Mascherpa & Stanier (wild pea, obtained from the Royal Botanic Gardens Millennium Seed Bank, Kew) were germinated in 0.61 Deepots (D40H, Stuewe & Sons) containing a 1:1 (v:v) mixture of silver sand (horticultural grade, Royal Horticultural Society, London) and nutrient-rich compost (Petersfield Growing Mediums). Plants were grown for 3.5 weeks (MiracleGro). Day/night temperatures were 28.2 \pm 1.9°C/19.2 \pm 1.0°C, with a photoperiod of 16h and natural light supplemented to maintain a minimum PPFD of 500µmol m⁻²s⁻¹.

Sampling under changing irradiance for Rubisco activation. The leaf-disc method used is a variant of previously described light assays conducted in vitro^{24,25}. The artificial sunlight simulation rig (light-rig; Supplementary Fig. 1) consisted of two high-intensity dimmable LED grow lights (Specialty Lighting Holland BV), jointly capable of supplying a PPFD of >1,200 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ with a spectrum designed to closely match clear-sky solar irradiance. A steel frame allowed precise positioning of the lights and was enclosed on three sides using white reflective shielding to improve uniformity of lighting (MCPET M4, Furukawa Electric Europe). Light treatments were implemented using a SLESA-UE7 lighting controller incorporated into a custom control interface (Specialty Lighting Holland BV), programmed using the Easy Stand Alone 2 software (Nicolaudie Architectural Lighting). Leaf discs (0.55 cm²) were excised from intact plants in the glasshouse and immediately placed with the abaxial surfaces in contact with 25 mM MES-NaOH pH 5.5, in 50 ml beakers filled to within 5 mm of the rim, maintaining the usual orientation with respect to irradiance. A circulating water bath containing a 37×25 cm² metal rack coated with non-reflective primer was used to hold the beakers in the light-rig. PPFD was measured at leaf-disc-level for each position within the rack to control uniformity of treatment levels and the water bath maintained the buffer at a constant temperature of 30 ± 0.1 °C (Omega Thermocouple Thermometer RDXL4SD, equipped with a type-K thermocouple; Omega). The rack had a 6×4 array to meet our randomized sampling design. Leaf discs were sampled for Rubisco assays by snap-freezing into liquid nitrogen after blotting on Whatman filter paper. Samples were stored at -80 °C until biochemical analysis. The sampling method by incubation of leaf discs at specific light and temperature conditions in the light-rig enabled accurate determination of Rubisco activity and activation state, representative of that found in intact leaves. Comparable results were obtained using leaf-disc samples collected from intact leaves and after incubation of leaf discs by floating in 25 mM MES-NaOH pH 5.5 or H₂O for 60 min under the same light and temperature conditions in the glasshouse (Supplementary Fig. 3). The incubation time was also tested, with 20, 40 and 60 min producing comparable results (Supplementary Fig. 4). The source of CO₂ to the leaf discs during incubation in the light-rig is the ambient air in contact with the adaxial leaf surface. Ambient air was circulated using two fans positioned at the top of the partially enclosed light-rig. In addition to the comparison with intact leaves, comparable Rubisco activation states in leaf discs floated in 25 mM MES-NaOH pH 5.5 with and without 10 mM NaHCO3 showed that leaf discs were not CO₂ limited (Supplementary Fig. 5).

To establish the light response of Rubisco activation (Supplementary Fig. 2), one leaf disc per plant from four to six replicates of every genotype, was illuminated for 40 min at PPFD of 0, 80, 160, 240, 320, 400, 500, 850 and 1,200 μ mol m⁻²s⁻¹. PPFD at the level of the leaf discs was measured before each assay (Q203 Quantum Radiometer with PFD filter, Irradian). Using the same system, time series were sampled to establish changes in Rubisco activation following sun–shade (deactivation) and shade–sun (activation) transitions. Each time series consisted of 32 discs collected from the youngest fully expanded trifoliate leaf on an individual plant. Treatments during time series consisted of high light for sun (850 μ mol m⁻²s⁻¹ PPFD) for 40 min; low light for shade (150 μ mol m⁻²s⁻¹ PPFD) for 20 min and a return to high light for sun ('postshade'). Leaf discs were first sampled 1 and 3 min before the transition to shade. Then, during both the shade and postshade periods, discs were sampled every 15 s for 2 min, then every 2 min until 20 min after the change in irradiance.

Rubisco activation state (S) measurements. Leaf samples $(0.55 \, \text{cm}^2)$ were ground in a mortar and pestle for up to 1 min in 250 µl of ice-cold buffer containing 50 mM Bicine-NaOH, pH 8.2, 20 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM benzamidine, 5 mM ε -aminocaproic acid, 50 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 10 mM DTT, 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride and 1% (v/v) protease inhibitors³⁰. The leaf lysate was cleared by centrifugation (14,000g for 1 min) at 4 °C. The supernatant was collected into a new tube, quickly mixed by pipetting and immediately used to initiate the Rubisco reactions. Rubisco initial and total activities at 30 °C were measured by the incorporation of $^{14}CO_2$ into 3-phosphoglycerate, following the carboxylation reaction by Rubisco³¹. Initial activities were obtained by adding 25 µl of supernatant to the assay mix containing 100 mM Bicine-NaOH, pH 8.2, 20 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM [^{14}C]-NaHCO₃ (18.5 kBqµmol⁻¹), 2 mM KH₂PO₄ and 0.6 mM RuBP. Total activities were obtained by incubating 25 µl of supernatant in the assay mix for 3 min, in the absence of RuBP. A test using IT68D-1010 showed the 3 min of incubation in the total activity assay was sufficient to allow available Rubisco

LETTERS

catalytic sites to be carbamylated, resulting in the same *S* as 5 min of incubation (3 min, 79.7 ± 1.7%; 5 min, 79.6 ± 1.8%; *n* = 5). Reactions containing activated Rubisco were initiated by the addition of 0.6 mM RuBP. Both initial and total reactions were quenched after 30 s with 100 µl of 20% formic acid. Reaction vials were dried at 100 °C, rehydrated with 400 µl of ultrapure H₂O, then mixed with 3.6 ml of scintillation cocktail (Gold Star Quanta, Meridian). Radioactive content of acid-stable ¹⁴C products was determined using a Liquid Scintillation Analyzer (Packard Tri-Carb, PerkinElmer). Rubisco activation state (*S*) is the ratio of initial to total Rubisco activity³²⁻³⁴.

Leaf gas exchange. Photosynthesis in terminal leaflets of recently expanded first or second trifoliate leaves (Supplementary Fig. 6), consistent with material used for Rubisco activity assays, was characterized in the glasshouse using two portable gas exchange systems (LI-6800F Photosynthesis Systems LI-COR; with Bluestem v.1.2.2, Scripts v.2017.12 1.2.1, October 2017, and Fluorometer v.1.1.6); all genotypes being measured on each system. Steady-state gas exchange, assessed as a period of \geq 5 min with no directional trend in the rate of leaf CO₂ uptake was obtained with cuvette conditions of 1,500 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ PPFD $(40 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{m}^{-2}\,\text{s}^{-1}\,\text{blue}\,\text{and}\,1,460\,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{m}^{-2}\,\text{s}^{-1}\,\text{red});\,430\,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{mol}^{-1}\,\text{inlet}\,[\text{CO}_{2}];$ leaf temperature 30.1 ± 0.45 °C (mean \pm s.d., n = 105); and humidity controlled to achieve leaf vapour pressure deficit 1.48 ± 0.149 kPa. Combined gas exchange (CO2 and H2O) and chlorophyll fluorescence, using the multiphase flash protocol, measurements were made to establish the response of net CO_2 assimilation (A) to [CO₂] (430, 375, 300, 225, 150, 75, 30, recovery at 430, 500, 575, 625, 700, 800, 900, 1,000 µmol mol⁻¹) and PPFD (1,500, 2,000, 1,700, 1,300, 1,100, 900, 700, 500, 400, 300, 200, 100, 50 and $0\,\mu mol\,m^{-2}\,s^{-1}).$ To establish the impact of shade on subsequent recovery of photosynthesis, gas exchange measurements were logged at 10 s intervals during steady-state; throughout a period of low light with equivalent light intensity $(150 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{m}^{-2}\,\text{s}^{-1})$ and duration $(20 \,\text{min})$ to that used in Rubisco activity assays; and following return to the steady-state PPFD of 1,500 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹. Control of cuvette conditions during sun-shade-sun assays was achieved using set-points for air temperature (30 °C), relative humidity (60-70%, fixed at steady-state value) and CO2 supply (430 µmol mol⁻¹).

One-point estimates of Rubisco maximum carboxylation rates ($V_{c,max}$). The recovery of $V_{c,max}$ following shade was predicted point-by-point from gas exchange measurements of A and c_i by rearranging the Farquhar et al.³⁵ equation:

$$V_{c,\max} = \frac{(A + R_{\rm d})}{\left(\frac{c_c - \Gamma^*}{c_c + K_{\rm C}(1 + O/K_{\rm O})}\right)}$$
(1)

where

$$c_{\rm c} = c_{\rm i} - \frac{A}{g_{\rm m}} \tag{2}$$

The parameters R_d (respiration in the light) and g_m (mesophyll conductance) were determined from steady-state A/c_i curves fit to the $[CO_2]$ assay data (measured from the same leaf and during the same diurnal period as induction measurements; Supplementary Fig. 7 and Supplementary Methods). For simplicity, g_m was assumed constant during induction, on the basis of recent measurements that show limited changes in g_m responding to similar sun–shade sequences that used 200 µmol m⁻²s⁻¹ as the shade irradiance in tobacco³⁶. Parameters K_C , K_O (Rubisco Michaelis–Menten coefficients for CO_2 and O_2 , respectively) and Γ^* (CO_2 compensation point in the absence of R_d) were predicted at the mean leaf temperature measured by the LI-6800F leaf thermocouple, using published equation sets for tobacco³⁷. The concentration of O_2 (O) was assumed to be the current atmospheric level of 209.5 mmol mol⁻¹ and gas concentrations were converted to partial pressures before fitting the model.

Statistical models of S and $V_{c,max}$ **time series.** To obtain estimates of half-times for S and $V_{c,max}$ in response to changes in light, the piecewise model of activation state was

$$S = a(S_{\rm H}) + b\left(S_{\rm L} - (S_{\rm L} - S_{\rm H}) e^{\frac{-(t+t_{\rm L})}{\tau_{\rm dS}}}\right) + c\left(S_{\rm H} - (S_{\rm H} - S_{\rm L}) e^{\frac{-t}{\tau_{\rm dS}}}\right)$$
(3)

where *a*, *b* and *c* are set to 1 in timesteps where the submodel is relevant: *a*, $t \leq -t_L$; *b*, $-t_L < t \leq 0$; *c*, t > 0; and are otherwise set to 0. Time (*t*, *s*) is relative to the beginning of induction and t_L is the duration of low light (shade). Transitions between the steady-state Rubisco activity in high light (S_{H}) and low light (S_L) follow exponential trajectories. The coefficient determining the rate of decline in *S* after a high- to low-light transition (deactivation) is the half-time $\tau_{d,S}$; conversely, the half-time $\tau_{a,S}$ determines the rate of increase in *S* following transition from low to high light (activation).

The response of $V_{\rm c,max}$ reflecting Rubisco activation during induction was modelled as

$$V_{c,\max} = V_{c,\max,H} - (V_{c,\max,H} - V_{c,\max,L}) e^{\frac{1}{\tau_{a,V}}}$$
(4)

LETTERS

where $V_{c,\max,\mathrm{H}}$ and $V_{c,\max,\mathrm{L}}$ are high-light and low-light steady-state values, respectively; and t is time from the start of shade (s). The rate of increase in $V_{c,\max}$ declines exponentially with half-time $\tau_{\mathrm{a},\mathrm{V}}$. This model was fit to data collected between 1 and 5 min after shade, a period that followed the initial inflection in A associated with the end of the RuBP-regeneration phase and during which photosynthesis was determined to be consistently limited by $V_{c,\max}$ (Supplementary Fig. 8).

To establish the half-time for decrease in $V_{c,max}$ on transfer to shade ($\tau_{d,V}$), we used the equation for decreasing $V_{c,max}$

$$V_{\rm c,max} = V_{\rm c,max,L} - (V_{\rm c,max,L} - V_{\rm c,max,H}) e^{\frac{-1}{r_{\rm d,V}}}$$

Setting the left-hand side equal to $V_{c,\max,L}$ that is, assuming that the value obtained after 20 min shade was the asymptote, gives

$$0 = -(V_{c,\max,L} - V_{c,\max,H}) e^{\frac{-i_L}{r_{d,V}}}$$
(6)

Taking logarithms and rearranging to solve for $\tau_{\rm d,v}$ gives

$$\tau_{\rm d,V} = \frac{t_{\rm L}}{\ln\left(V_{\rm c,max,H} - V_{\rm c,max,L}\right)} \tag{7}$$

Nonlinear-least-squares models were initially fit to individual replicates, providing starting models (*S*, Supplementary Table 2; *V*_{c.max}, Supplementary Table 3) from which we aimed to identify significant differences at the level of accessions, the level relevant to crop improvement. Differences between the starting models were used to inform construction and simplification of nonlinear mixed-effects models (*S*, Supplementary Fig. 9; *V*_{c.max}, Supplementary Fig. 10). Maximal models, that is complete parameterization at the level of individual replicates, with individuals treated as random effects, were progressively simplified. Using evidence from likelihood ratio testing, Wald tests and plots of residuals and model coefficients, fixed effects were introduced, their importance established and unnecessary fixed or random terms removed³⁸.

Diurnal assimilation models. The diurnal impact of shade-responsive changes in Rubisco activity on potential *A*, was predicted on the basis of fitted net CO_2 assimilation-light-responses (*A*/PPFD) (Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 11 and Supplementary Methods) and an irradiance regime relevant to chloroplasts in second-layer leaves of a legume crop (Fig. 2a): irradiance values had been derived at ~60 s intervals by reverse ray tracing, with shade-generating structures in the canopy distributed at random within each layer and assuming a clear-sky day in June at latitude 44°N (ref. ¹⁶).

When PPFD was increasing, Rubisco limited $A(A_R)$ was modelled as¹⁶

$$A_{\rm R} = A_{\rm F} - (A_{\rm F} - A_{\rm I}) e^{\frac{-t}{\tau_{\rm a}}}$$
(8)

The rate of change in A_R decreases exponentially over the duration of each timestep (*t*) in proportion to the Rubisco activation half-time (τ_a). The net CO₂ assimilation rate at the final PPFD (A_F) is approximated using the PPFD response

$$A_{\rm F} = \frac{\phi Q + A_{\rm sat} - \sqrt{(\phi Q + A_{\rm sat})^2 - 4\phi \theta Q A_{\rm sat}}}{2\theta} - R_{\rm d} \tag{9}$$

where ϕ is an initial slope, *Q* is PPFD, A_{sat} is the light-saturated rate and θ a curvature parameter. In each timestep, the initial net CO₂ assimilation rate (A_1) is the A_R achieved at the end of the previous timestep (taken to be 0 at first light).

Assuming that [RuBP] is saturating, integrated, Rubisco activity-limited CO₂ assimilation $(\int_{0}^{t} A$, annotated as A_{z} is

$$A_{\tau} = A_{\rm F}t - (A_{\rm F} - A_{\rm I})\,\tau_{\rm a} + (A_{\rm F} - A_{\rm I})\,\tau_{\rm a}e^{\frac{\tau}{\tau_{\rm a}}} \tag{10}$$

Setting $\tau_a = 0$ integrates potential assimilation rate with instantaneous response to PPFD/quantum input ($A_Q = A_F t$). An estimate of foregone assimilation, A_P is $A_Q - A_\tau$ (refs. ^{16,26}), which is expressed as a percentage of potential assimilation:

$$A_{\rm f} = \frac{A_{\rm Q} - A_{\tau}}{A_{\rm Q}} 100 \tag{11}$$

When PPFD was decreasing, CO_2 assimilation was modelled as responding immediately to PPFD: $A_r = A_{Qr}$. However, to provide an appropriate A_1 on return to non-light-limiting conditions, we predicted A_R as declining at a rate determined by τ_{i} :

$$A_{\rm R} = A_{\rm I} - (A_{\rm I} - A_{\rm F}) e^{\frac{-i}{\tau_{\rm d}}}$$
(12)

Outcomes of diurnal modelling (A_Q and A_f) were compared using linear mixed effects, treating models using alternative (estimated from *S* or $V_{c,max}$) τ_a and τ_d as fixed effects, while accounting for variation among accessions as a random effect.

Statistical software. Modelling and statistical analyses were implemented in R (v.4.0.3; ref. ³⁹) including the nlme package (v.3.1-151; ref. ⁴⁰).

Reporting Summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

Data, including those shown in Figs. 1 and 2 and Supplementary Information are available through the Lancaster University data repository (https://doi.org/10.17635/lancaster/researchdata/493)⁴¹.

Code availability

(5)

Code used for analysis and figure preparation are available through GitHub (https://github.com/smuel-tylor/Fast-Deactivation-of-Rubisco); data can also be obtained from this location.

Received: 20 November 2020; Accepted: 25 November 2021; Published online: 20 January 2022

References

- Taylor, S. H. & Long, S. P. Slow induction of photosynthesis on shade to sun transitions in wheat may cost at least 21% of productivity. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B* https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0543 (2017).
- Salter, W. T., Merchant, A. M., Richards, R. A., Trethowan, R. & Buckley, T. N. Rate of photosynthetic induction in fluctuating light varies widely among genotypes of wheat. J. Exp. Bot. 70, 2787–2796 (2019).
- Wang, Y., Burgess, S. J., de Becker, E. M. & Long, S. P. Photosynthesis in the fleeting shadows: an overlooked opportunity for increasing crop productivity? *Plant J.* 101, 874–884 (2020).
- 4. Africa Regional Overview of Food Security and Nutrition 2019 (FAO, 2020).
- Kamara, A. Y. et al. Integrating planting date with insecticide spraying regimes to manage insect pests of cowpea in north-eastern Nigeria. *Int. J. Pest Manag.* 56, 243–253 (2010).
- Horn, L. N. & Shimelis, H. Production constraints and breeding approaches for cowpea improvement for drought prone agro-ecologies in Sub-Saharan Africa. Ann. Agric. Sci. 65, 83–91 (2020).
- Bailey-Serres, J. et al. Genetic strategies for improving crop yields. *Nature* 575, 109–118 (2019).
- Yoon, D.-K. et al. Transgenic rice overproducing Rubisco exhibits increased yields with improved nitrogen-use efficiency in an experimental paddy field. *Nat. Food* 1, 134–139 (2020).
- Kromdijk, J. et al. Improving photosynthesis and crop productivity by accelerating recovery from photoprotection. *Science* 354, 857–861 (2016).
- De Souza, A. P., Wang, Y., Orr, D. J., Carmo–Silva, E. & Long, S. P. Photosynthesis across African cassava germplasm is limited by Rubisco and mesophyll conductance at steady state, but by stomatal conductance in fluctuating light. *New Phytol.* 225, 2498–2512 (2020).
- Hayer-Hartl, M. & Hartl, F. U. Chaperone machineries of Rubisco—the most abundant enzyme. *Trends Biochem. Sci* 45, 748–763 (2020).
- Way, D. A. & Pearcy, R. W. Sunflecks in trees and forests: from photosynthetic physiology to global change biology. *Tree Physiol.* 32, 1066–1081 (2012).
- Burgess, A. J. et al. High-resolution three-dimensional structural data quantify the impact of photoinhibition on long-term carbon gain in wheat canopies in the field. *Plant Physiol.* 169, 1192 (2015).
- 14. Zhu, X. G., Ort, D. R., Whitmarsh, J. & Long, S. P. The slow reversibility of photosystem II thermal energy dissipation on transfer from high to low light may cause large losses in carbon gain by crop canopies: a theoretical analysis. *J. Exp. Bot.* 55, 1167–1175 (2004).
- Seemann, J. R., Kirschbaum, M. U. F., Sharkey, T. D. & Pearcy, R. W. Regulation of ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase activity in *Alocasia macrorrhiza* in response to step changes in irradiance. *Plant Physiol.* 88, 148 (1988).
- Woodrow, I. & Mott, K. Rate limitation of non-steady-state photosynthesis by ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase in spinach. *Aus. J. Plant Physiol.* 16, 487–500 (1989).
- Ernstsen, J., Woodrow, I. E. & Mott, K. A. Responses of Rubisco activation and deactivation rates to variations in growth-light conditions. *Photosynth. Res.* 52, 117–125 (1997).
- Tanaka, Y., Adachi, S. & Yamori, W. Natural genetic variation of the photosynthetic induction response to fluctuating light environment. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **49**, 52–59 (2019).
- 19. Kaiser, E. et al. Dynamic photosynthesis in different environmental conditions. *J. Exp. Bot.* **66**, 2415–2426 (2015).
- Hammond, E. T., Andrews, T. J., Mott, K. A. & Woodrow, I. E. Regulation of Rubisco activation in antisense plants of tobacco containing reduced levels of Rubisco activase. *Plant J.* 14, 101–110 (1998).
- Soleh, M. A. et al. Factors underlying genotypic differences in the induction of photosynthesis in soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.]. *Plant Cell Environ.* 39, 685–693 (2016).
- Acevedo–Siaca, L. G. et al. Variation in photosynthetic induction between rice accessions and its potential for improving productivity. *New Phytol.* 227, 1097–1108 (2020).

NATURE PLANTS

LETTERS

- Jackson, R. B., Woodrow, I. E. & Mott, K. A. Nonsteady-state photosynthesis following an increase in photon flux density (PFD). *Plant Physiol.* 95, 498 (1991).
- Delieu, T. & Walker, D. A. An illuminated constant-temperature water bath for the study of photochemical reactions in biological systems. *Anal. Biochem.* 16, 160–166 (1966).
- Carmo-Silva, A. E. & Salvucci, M. E. The regulatory properties of Rubisco activase differ among species and affect photosynthetic induction during light transitions. *Plant Physiol.* 161, 1645–1655 (2013).
- Mott, K. A. & Woodrow, I. E. Modelling the role of Rubisco activase in limiting non-steady-state photosynthesis. J. Exp. Bot. 51, 399–406 (2000).
- 27. Kaiser, E., Kromdijk, J., Harbinson, J., Heuvelink, E. & Marcelis, L. F. M. Photosynthetic induction and its diffusional, carboxylation and electron transport processes as affected by CO₂ partial pressure, temperature, air humidity and blue irradiance. *Ann. Bot.* **119**, 191–205 (2017).
- Portis, A. R. Jr, Lilley, R. M. & Andrews, T. J. Subsaturating ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate concentration promotes inactivation of ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (rubisco)(studies using continuous substrate addition in the presence and absence of rubisco activase). *Plant Physiol.* **109**, 1441–1451 (1995).
- Pasquet, R. S. in Advances in Legume Systematics 8: Legumes of Economic Importance (eds Pickersgill, B. & Lock, J. M.) 95–100 (Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, 1996).
- Carmo-Silva, E. et al. Phenotyping of field-grown wheat in the UK highlights contribution of light response of photosynthesis and flag leaf longevity to grain yield. J. Exp. Bot. 68, 3473–3486 (2017).
- 31. Parry, M. A. J. et al. Regulation of Rubisco by inhibitors in the light. *Plant Cell Environ.* **20**, 528–534 (1997).
- Perchorowicz, J. T., Raynes, D. A. & Jensen, R. G. Light limitation of photosynthesis and activation of ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase in wheat seedlings. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 78, 2985–2989 (1981).
- 33. Sharwood, R. E., Sonawane, B. V., Ghannoum, O. & Whitney, S. M. Improved analysis of C₄ and C₃ photosynthesis via refined in vitro assays of their carbon fixation biochemistry. J. Exp. Bot. 67, 3137–3148 (2016).
- 34. Sales, C. R. G., Degen, G. E., da Silva, A. B. & Carmo-Silva, E. Spectrophotometric determination of RuBisCO activity and activation state in leaf extracts. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1770**, 239–250 (2018).
- Farquhar, G. D., Von Caemmerer, S. & Berry, J. A. A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation in leaves of C₃ species. *Planta* 149, 78–90 (1980).
- Sakoda, K., Yamori, W., Groszmann, M. & Evans, J. R. Stomatal, mesophyll conductance, and biochemical limitation to photosynthesis during induction. *Plant Physiol.* 185, 146–160 (2021).
- Sharkey, T. D., Bernacchi, C. J., Farquhar, G. D. & Singsaas, E. L. Fitting photosynthetic carbon dioxide response curves for C₃ leaves. *Plant Cell Environ.* 30, 1035–1040 (2007).
- Pinheiro, J. C. & Bates, D. M. Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-PLUS (Springer-Verlag, 2000).
- 39. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2020).

- Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D. & R Core Team. nlme: Linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. R package version 3.1-144 https:// CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme (2020).
- Taylor, S. H. et al. *Fast Deactivation of Rubisco* (Lancaster Univ., 2021); https://doi.org/10.17635/lancaster/researchdata/493

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by a subaward from the University of Illinois as part of the research project Realizing Increased Photosynthetic Efficiency (RIPE) that is funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Foundation for Food and Agriculture Research and the UK Government's Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office under grant no. OPP1172157.

Author contributions

S.H.T. designed and implemented gas exchange experiments, carried out data analysis and modelling and wrote the manuscript. E.G.E. designed and implemented Rubisco activation state experiments, carried out data analysis and wrote the manuscript. R.P. developed methods for and oversaw cowpea propagation and extracted Rubisco. M.A.J.P and S.P.L. jointly supervised the research. E.C.-S. supervised Rubisco activity research and wrote the manuscript. All authors provided feedback on the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-021-01068-9.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Elizabete Carmo-Silva.

Peer review information *Nature Plants* thanks Florian Busch, Spencer Whitney and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adap-

tation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022

nature research

Corresponding author(s): Elizabete Carmo-Silva

Last updated by author(s): Nov 10, 2021

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	firmed
	\boxtimes	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	\boxtimes	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
		The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
\boxtimes		A description of all covariates tested
	\square	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
		A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
		For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.
\boxtimes		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
\boxtimes		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
\boxtimes		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information	about <u>availability of computer code</u>
Data collection	Photosynthetic gas-exchange data was collected using LI-6800F Photosynthesis Systems and the associated software (Bluestem v.1.2.2, Scripts version 2017.12 1.2.1, Oct 2017, and Fluorometer version 1.1.6), which are commercially available (LI-COR Inc., Lincoln NE, USA).
Data analysis	Modelling and statistical analyses were implemented in R Language and Environment (v4.1.1), using RStudio (v1.4.1103) with version control. Custom code used to fit A/ci and A/Q responses, alongside the complete analysis workflow, is available via GitHub (https://github.com/smuel- tylor/Fast-Deactivation-of-Rubisco; CC0 licence).

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the Lancaster University Research Directory: https://doi.org/10.17635/lancaster/researchdata/493. They are also included, for ease of use, with the aforementioned GitHub submission (https://github.com/smuel-tylor/Fast-Deactivation-of-Rubisco)

Field-specific reporting

K Life sciences

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Behavioural & social sciences 🛛 Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Life sciences study design

All studies must dis	sclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.
Sample size	The minimum sample size of 3 independent biological replicates was limited by the effort required to obtain each set of measurements. More samples were collected where possible, up to a maximum of $n = 6$.
Data exclusions	Data that failed to match physiological expectations, were noisily imprecise, or failed to produce adequate fits when carrying out non-linear modelling were excluded. These exclusions and their rationale are documented for the complete gas exchange dataset in data analysis scripts made available on GitHub. Rubisco activity data was quality checked for errors in experimental procedure.
Replication	Biological replicates were analysed independently and all genotypes were measured at the same time. A minimum of 3 and maximum of 6 independent biological replicates were collected in each experiment.
Randomization	Plants were distributed according to a random block design, with every genotype represented in each block and plants of the various genotypes distributed randomly in each block. A minimum of 4 blocks was used per experiment. Samples were processed in random order.
Blinding	There was no blinding. Due to the experiment design, researchers were aware of the identity of each plant because, for example, visual checking for leaf age prior to sampling was a necessary component of the protocols and the genotypes have different leaf shapes. For Rubisco activity experiments, leaf disc samples undergo processing subsequent to sampling; processing was done in batches that ensured all treatments and accessions were treated similarly.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems

Methods

n/a	Involved in the study
\boxtimes	Antibodies
\boxtimes	Eukaryotic cell lines
\boxtimes	Palaeontology and archaeology
\boxtimes	Animals and other organisms
\boxtimes	Human research participants
\boxtimes	Clinical data
\boxtimes	Dual use research of concern

n/a	Involved in the study

- ChIP-seq
- Flow cytometry
- MRI-based neuroimaging